

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/827,495	04/19/2004	Kurt Ballou	S63.2-6072-US03	3473
490	7590 12/13/2004		EXAMINER	
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
6109 BLUE C	IRCLE DRIVE	4		
SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNETONKA MN 55343-9185			3738	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/827,495	BALLOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bruce E Snow	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 8-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the fidal or by the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/827,495

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species 7 (figure 14) in the reply filed on 11/24/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 4 and 7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species as indicated by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5-6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to teach the elected embodiment shown in figure 14 which has a connector section of at least a pair of wire. The specification teaches the embodiment of figure 14 is similar in most respect to that of figures 12 and 13; see 4:35 et seq. The embodiments of figures 13-14 have a connector made of a single wire as shown in figure 13, therefore, the elected

Art Unit: 3738

embodiment has a connector of a single wire. Additionally, "of at least a pair of wire" is broader than the specification which fails to teach **more** than a pair.

Regarding claim 9, referring to 14, the straight connector section does not extend continuously throughout the length of the stent; it clearly does not extend into the end cells. Additionally, the connector section stops at the beginning of each spaced section wherein the wire at that point forms the spaced sections; note applicant is claiming a configuration wherein the connector comprising a pair of wires not an additional wire added like that shown in applicant's figure 13.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over at least claims 9 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,118 and at least claims 2 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,071,308. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Art Unit: 3738

each other more broadly claiming a stent with spaced sections connected by a connector section comprising a pair of wires.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12, "a connecting wire" lacks antecedent basis and is ambiguous.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,495

Art Unit: 3738

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simon et al (5,395,390).

Referring to all figures, specifically figure 4, Simon et al a stent comprised of a tube form body 2 having a body wall structure of a geometric pattern of cells 18 defined by wire extending throughout the body portion and defining the cell pattern as a plurality of spaced sections of interconnected cells which in plan view are of hexagonal polygonal configuration, at least one of the plurality of spaced sections having two rows of cells circumferentially distributed about the tube, adjacent spaced sections being connected to each other by at least one straight connector section of the wire, the at least one straight connector section comprising at least a pair of wire. The at least one straight connection section is interpreted to include the middle section of the stent which includes at least straight element 6.

The straight connection sections includes many sections, some of which being 180 degrees apart.

Regarding claim 5, nitinol, see 3:66-67.

Claim 8, sleeve, see 1:65.

Regarding claim 9, like applicant's elected embodiment, the "straight connection section" does not extend to the ends of the stent. Note that it is true of Simon et al that one could connect straight portions or sections to meet the claim language.

Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hasen et al (5,928,280).

Referring to all figures, specifically figure 5, Hasen et al a stent comprised of a tube form body having a body wall structure of a geometric pattern of cells 2 defined by wire extending throughout the body portion and defining the cell pattern as a plurality of spaced sections of interconnected cells which in plan view are of an inverted hexagonal polygonal configuration, at least one of the plurality of spaced sections having two rows of cells circumferentially distributed about the tube, adjacent spaced sections being connected to each other by at least one straight connector section of the wire (the portion where the wire is twisted together), the at least one straight connector section comprising at least a pair of wire. The spaced sections comprise two adjacent circumferential rows separated by at least one straight connection section (wire is twisted together).

The straight connection sections includes many sections, some of which being 180 degrees apart.

Regarding claim 5, nitinol, see 8:60.

Claim 8, sleeve, see 10:1 et seq.

Regarding claim 9, like applicant's elected embodiment, the "straight connection section" does not extend to the ends of the stent. Note that it is true of Hansen et al that one could connect straight portions or sections to meet the claim language.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER